# ENERGY INDEPENDENCE AND SECURITY ACT OF 2022

(to be included in the Continuing Resolution)

# SUBTITLE A: ACCELERATING AGENCY REVIEWS

## Sec. 1. Definitions.

• This section provides definition for the bill.

# Sec. 2. Streamlining Process for Authorizations and Reviews of Energy and Natural Resources Projects.

## **Topline Summary:**

- Based on existing law streamlining provisions enacted by Congress for transportation projects (roads, highways, bridges, rail and transit) that have been in the code since 1998, expanded by Congress in 2012 and 2015 and most recently modified by the 2021 Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA). These provisions also make changes to the "FAST-41" infrastructure law which was created during the Obama Administration (in title 41 of the 2015 FAST Act) and made permanent in the 2021 IIJA.
- Sets a 2-year target for National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) reviews for major energy and natural resource projects which require a full environmental impact statement and reviews from more than one federal agency and a 1-year target for projects which require an environmental assessment. Also requires issuance of all other permits within 180 days of finishing the NEPA process.
- Designates a lead agency to coordinate project reviews and expands the use of shared interagency environmental review documents and concurrent agency reviews.
- Sets the 150 day statute of limitations for court challenges, requires random assignment of judges to cases consistent with current practice, and requires courts to set and enforce reasonable schedule (of no more than 180 days) for agencies to act on remanded or vacated permits.
- Establishes dispute resolution procedures for resolving project disagreements without delays.

## **Detailed Summary:**

• Subsection (a) provides definitions for this section. "Projects" are defined as those projects for the construction of infrastructure to produce, generate, store, or transport energy; to capture, remove, transport, or store carbon dioxide; or to mine, extract, beneficiate, or process minerals which also require the preparation of an environmental document under the

NEPA and an agency authorization, such as a permit, license or other approval. "Major projects" are those projects for which multiple Federal actions are required in addition to an environmental impact statement under NEPA, or a project for which the project sponsor requests treatment as a major project for a project where only an environmental assessment is required under NEPA.

- Subsection (b) requires that the streamlining provisions of this section be applied to: all major projects; all other projects for which an environmental impact statement is prepared; and all other projects for which an environmental document is prepared, if requested by a project sponsor and deemed appropriate by the relevant agency head.
- Subsection (c) establishes responsibilities for lead federal agencies to ensure a complete, timely and coordinated environmental review process between different federal and State agencies that may be involved in a project NEPA review, including identifying participating agencies and incorporating their input.
- Subsection (d) defines and establishes responsibilities of federal agencies participating or cooperating in the NEPA environmental process. The subsection establishes procedures for participating or cooperating agencies to provide input into the environmental review process coordinated by the lead agency and to address any environmental issues of concern. The subsection does not apply to projects that have voluntarily become "covered projects" under the FAST-41 procedures and are using the alternate participating and coordinating agency requirements of that Act (42 USC 4370m et seq).
- Subsection (e) requires, to the maximum extent practicable and consistent with Federal law, all Federal authorizations and reviews that are necessary for a project to rely on a single environmental document that is prepared under the leadership of the lead agency. The subsection also applies the requirements of section 41005 of the FAST Act (42 USC 4370m-4) to energy and minerals projects, which address interagency coordination and the use of concurrent reviews, adoption and use of existing documents, and development and analysis of alternatives.
- Subsection (f) clarifies that agencies may use errata sheets to make minor modifications to draft environmental impact statements being prepared for final issuance, in lieu of rewriting them.
- Subsection (g) sets requirements for the lead federal agency to set schedules for coordinating public and agency participation in the environmental review process and authorization decisions for projects or applicable categories of projects. Schedules must be established not later than 90 days after publication of a notice of intent to prepare an environmental impact statement, or the initiation of an environmental assessment. Schedules must be set consistent with completing the environmental review process within two years for projects that require an environmental impact statements or within one year for projects that require environmental assessments and completing the environmental review process. This subsection sets factors for agencies to consider in setting schedules, creates a process for modifying schedules during the project review process, requires agencies to report to the Office of Management and Budget if they fail to meet a schedule, and sets public comment timeframes.
- Subsection (h) establishes an accelerated dispute resolution process to identify and resolve issues that could delay project reviews. Participating agencies, governors of a State in which a project is located, or a project sponsor can request a dispute resolution meeting be

convened by the lead agency within 30 days of the request. If the issue is not resolved within 30 days of the meeting, the issue is elevated first to the heads of the relevant agencies, then to the White House Council on Environmental Quality, and finally to the President for resolution with specific timeframes for each step specified in this subsection.

- Subsection (i) allows a project sponsor, participating agency, or governor of a State in which a project is located to request that the lead federal agency provide technical assistance to resolve issues that could delay project reviews, including through additional staffing, enhanced coordination, and specialized assistance.
- Subsection (j) provides that nothing in this section supersedes, amends, or modifies Federal environmental laws or agencies' obligations under those laws; nothing pre-empts public comment procedures; and nothing pre-empts any other provision of law or powers, jurisdictions, responsibilities, or authorities of Federal, State, or local government agencies, Indian Tribes, or project sponsors under those laws; or affects judicial reviewability of federal agency actions, except as provided under subsection (k).
- Subsection (k) sets standards and procedures for judicial review of projects under this section. The provisions require that a claim arising under Federal law seeking judicial review of an authorization issued or denied by a Federal agency for a project under this section be filed no later than 150 days after the authorization is final or the date of publication of a notice that the environmental document is final in accordance with NEPA (whichever is later), unless Federal law prescribes a shorter time frame.
- This subsection also requires that if a court vacates or remands a final federal agency action for a project covered by this section, the court must set a reasonable schedule and deadline, not to exceed 180 days, for the agency to act, unless a longer time period is necessary to comply with applicable law. It also requires Federal agencies to take such actions as may be necessary to expeditiously address remanded actions in accordance with the schedule and deadline set by the court. Finally, the subsection requires Federal district courts and courts of appeals to randomly assign judges for cases seeking judicial review of any authorization issued by a Federal agency for a project covered by this section to avoid the appearance of favoritism or bias.
- Subsection (1) requires aa lead agency to provide energy and mineral project information for the Federal Permitting Improvement Steering Council's public permitting dashboard to include the status, schedule, and progress of each major project under this section with respect to compliance with the applicable requirements of NEPA, any authorization, and any other Indian Tribe, State, or local agency authorization required for the project, including updating the dashboard to reflect changes resulting from litigation. Participating agencies are required to provide relevant information to comply with this subsection to the lead agency.
- Subsection (m) requires the Department of Energy, Department of the Interior, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Forest Service, Army Corps of Engineers, and Maritime Administration to establish a performance accountability system and publish annually a report describing performance for each major project authorization and review conducted during the preceding year, including compliance with the schedules required under this section.
- Subsection (n) allows for the use of programmatic approaches to conduct environmental reviews that eliminate repetitive discussions of the same issue, focus on the issues ripe for analysis at each level of review and are consistent with NEPA and other applicable laws.

This subsection sets transparency, accuracy, timeliness, coordination, and public comment requirements for programmatic approaches.

- Subsection (o) requires that within 180 days of enactment, and at least every four years thereafter, the Department of Energy, Department of the Interior, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Forest Service, Army Corps of Engineers, and Maritime Administration consult with other departments and agencies to identify existing NEPA categorical exclusions available to other agencies that, if applied to energy projects, would accelerate development of those projects. These departments would use existing NEPA implementing regulations to consider identified categorical exclusions and conduct a rulemaking process to adopt any new categorical exclusions.
- Subsection (p) requires that within 180 days of enactment and again within 5 years, the Department of Energy, Department of the Interior, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Forest Service, Army Corps of Engineers, and the Maritime Administration shall publish a report on existing NEPA categorical exclusions used by their department/agency and solicit input from project sponsors for additional categorical exclusions. Within 120 days of the solicitation, each department/agency must publish a notice of proposed rulemaking to propose the adoption of any new categorical exclusions that meet the applicable criteria under NEPA and other relevant regulations.

## Sec. 3. Prioritizing Energy Projects of Strategic National Importance.

## **Topline Summary:**

• Requires the President to designate and prioritize reviews for a list of strategically important energy and mineral projects. Designation identifies these projects as national priorities for the American public, energy producers and consumers, energy workers, and our international allies.

- Subsection (a) provides definitions for this subsection.
- Subsection (b) requires the President to designate, within 90 days of enactment, 25 energy projects of strategic national importance for priority Federal review, in consultation with the Secretary of Energy, Secretary of the Interior, Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Every 180 days thereafter for ten years, the President must publish an updated list of at least 25 designated projects, which shall include each previously designated project until a final decision has been issued for each authorization for the project or the project sponsor withdraws its request for authorization. This subsection requires that the list of designated projects include a minimum number of critical minerals, fossil fuel (including biofuel), non-fossil fuel (including storage), electric transmission, carbon capture, and hydrogen projects, unless the President does not receive a sufficient number of applications that meet the requirements for designated projects.
- Subsection (c) establishes requirements for selecting designated projects of strategic national importance from applications submitted to federal permitting agencies. To qualify for designation, selected projects must be likely to require: an environmental assessment or

impact statement under NEPA, review by more than two federal or State agencies, total project cost of more than \$250 million, and sufficient financial support from the project sponsor to ensure completion. In selecting designated projects, the President shall prioritize projects that: reduce energy prices, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, improve electric reliability, advance emerging technologies, improve domestic energy supply chains, increase energy trade with U.S. allies and trading partners, reduce U.S. reliance on supply chains of foreign entities of concern, minimize impacts to communities, and create jobs that pay prevailing wage rates.

- Subsection (d) requires the President to direct federal agencies, through executive order, to prioritize the completion of environmental reviews and authorizations for designated projects, including reviews or authorizations remanded or vacated by courts. The President shall seek to complete environmental impact statements for designated projects within two years, environmental assessments within 1 year, and all required authorizations for construction within 180 days of completion of the environmental review.
- Subsection (e) clarifies that the selection of projects for designation is an administrative action that does not require review under NEPA.
- Subsection (f) requires the President to submit a quarterly report to Congress on the status of reviews for designated projects.
- Subsection (g) directs that \$250 million in funding previously appropriated in the *Inflation Reduction Act* to the Federal Permitting Improvement Steering Council Environmental Review Improvement Fund be used to improve and accelerate reviews for designated projects.

# Sec. 4. Empowering the Federal Permitting Improvement Steering Council and Improving Reviews.

## **Topline Summary:**

• Makes changes to the existing FAST-41 permitting law related to energy and natural resource projects.

- Subsection (a) includes critical mineral mining and processing projects in the statutory list of projects eligible for the Federal Permitting Improvement Steering Council (FPISC) permitting process transparency and streamlining programs. It further reduces the FPISC eligibility threshold for energy projects from \$200 million minimum project cost to \$50 million.
- Subsection (b) requires the Department of Interior offshore 5-year oil and gas leasing program to be tracked as a covered project by FPISC.

# SUBTITLE B: MODERNIZING PERMITTING LAWS

## Sec. 1. State Certification under the Clean Water Act.

#### **Topline Summary:**

• Specifies procedures for state certification that projects comply with applicable water quality standards.

#### **Detailed Summary:**

• This section modifies Section 401 of the Clean Water Act to clarify that the scope of review for certification requests under this section is limited to federal, State, and Tribal water quality requirements affected by the activity being federally licensed or permitted. The section also: clarifies that certifying agencies must take one of four final actions within one year of receiving a certification request: grant, grant with conditions, deny, or waive certification; allows applicants to request pre-filing meetings with certifying agencies to exchange information concerning a forthcoming certification request; requires State and Tribal certifying agencies to publish clear requirements for water quality certification applications, or else default to federal requirements; requires applicants to include in certifying agencies to notify applicants of the timeline for review, not to exceed one year, within 35 days of receiving a certification request; prohibits certifying agencies from requesting project applicants withdraw applications to pause or restart the certification clock; and, requires the Administrator of the EPA to notify other States and Tribes of potential water quality impacts from a project being certified by a different State or Tribe.

## Sec. 2. Transmission.

## **Topline Summary:**

• Expands an existing authority to give the Federal government increased permitting authority for transmission lines found by the Secretary of Energy to be in the national interest, requires the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) to ensure project costs are allocated to customers that benefit, and allows FERC to approve payments from utilities to jurisdictions impacted by a project.

- Subsection (a) amends Section 202(a) of the Federal Power Act (FPA) to clarify that the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) has authority to promote and encourage the construction or modification of electricity transmission facilities within and between regions of the country to ensure an abundant supply of electric energy throughout the United States.
- Subsection (b) amends Section 202(b) of the FPA to allow FERC, upon application by a state or utility, to direct the construction of transmission determined to be in the national interest.
- Subsection (c) amends Section 216 of the FPA to give the Secretary of Energy, on application by FERC, authority to designate an electric transmission facility to be necessary

in the national interest, conditioned upon completion of any required environmental review by the appropriate lead agency, as applicable. The Secretary shall make the determination after opportunity for a hearing and after consideration of relevant factors.

- Subsection (d) allows FERC, after notice and hearing opportunity, to issue a construction permit for a project conditionally determined by the Secretary to be in the national interest if FERC also finds the project satisfies a list of relevant considerations.
- Subsection (e) allows eminent domain to be exercised on State land.
- Subsection (f) directs FERC to allocate the costs of projects the Commission determines to meet certain criteria under this subsection in accordance with FERC's cost allocation principles and roughly commensurate with the estimated project benefits.
- Subsection (g) clarifies that FERC is the lead agency for environmental reviews under this section except where approvals are issued by the Secretary of Interior.
- Subsection (h) amends Section 219 of the FPA to allow FERC to approve for cost recovery payments to jurisdictions impacted by a project under this section.

## Sec. 3. Definition of Natural Gas Under the Natural Gas Act.

• Clarifies that the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission has jurisdiction to regulate interstate hydrogen infrastructure in addition under the Natural Gas Act in addition to interstate natural gas infrastructure currently regulated under the Natural Gas Act.

## Sec. 4. Authorization of the Mountain Valley Pipeline.

## **Topline Summary:**

• Requires federal agencies to issue all approval and permits necessary for the construction of the Mountain Valley Pipeline.

- Subsection (a) states that Congress finds the timely completion of the Mountain Valley Pipeline is necessary to ensure affordable and reliable natural gas supplies, to facilitate a transition to cleaner fuels, reduce carbon emissions, and is in the national interest.
- Subsection (b) states that the purpose of this section is to require Federal officers and agencies to take all necessary actions to permit the completion of the Mountain Valley Pipeline without further delay.
- Subsection (c) provides definitions for this section.
- Subsection (d) directs that within 30 days of enactment, the Secretary of the Interior, Secretary of Agriculture, Secretary of the Army, and Federal Energy Regulatory Commission shall issue necessary biological opinions, incidental take statements, rights-of-way, amendments, permits, leases, verifications, and other authorizations for the construction and operation of the Mountain Valley Pipeline, substantially in the forms previously approved.
- Subsection (e) allows a Secretary concerned to modify a biological opinion, incidental take statement, right-of-way, amendment, permit, verification, or other authorization previously

approved to correct a deficiency in the record or protect the public interest or the environment.

- Subsection (f) establishes that subsection (d) supersedes any law relating to an administrative determination as to whether a biological opinion, incidental take statement, right-of-way, amendment, permit, verification, or other authorization shall be issued for the Mountain Valley Pipeline.
- Subsection (g) establishes that the actions required under subsection (d) to construct the pipeline are not subject to judicial review. The subsection establishes that the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit shall have original and exclusive jurisdiction over any claim arising under this section.

Prepared by the Majority Staffs of the Senate Energy and Natural Resources Committee and the Senate Environment and Public Works Committee